## Exercise 4 - Linear Quadratic Problems (deadline: 25.5.2014, 2:15pm)

Prof. Dr. Moritz Diehl and Jonas Koenemann

## 1 Linear Quadratic Regulator

Consider the inverted pendulum problem in Exercise 3 of Exercise Sheet 3. This time, we find an approximate solution to the optimal control problem by linearising the original non-linear problem and finding a solution to the corresponding linear-quadratic problem.

Recall the system dynamics of the pendulum with state $x=[\phi, \omega]^{\top}$ :

$$
\dot{x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\omega \\
2 \sin (\phi)+u
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the optimal control problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{minimize}^{\min }, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\left(\phi_{k}^{2}+u_{k}^{2}\right) \\
& x_{0}, \ldots, \\
& \text { subject to } \\
& f\left(x_{k}, u_{k}\right)-x_{k+1}=0, \quad \text { for } \quad k=0, \ldots, N-1 \\
& \bar{x}_{0}=x_{0} \\
& u_{\min } \leq u_{k} \leq u_{\max }, \quad \text { for } k=0, \ldots, N-1 \\
& \omega_{\min } \leq \omega_{k} \leq \omega_{\max }, \quad \text { for } k=0, \ldots, N
\end{aligned}
$$

In this sheet, our aim is to solve a linear-quadratic problem of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N}, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{N-1}}{\operatorname{minimize}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{k} \\
u_{k}
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Q_{k} & S_{k}^{T} \\
S_{k} & R_{k}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{k} \\
u_{k}
\end{array}\right]+x_{N}^{T} P_{N} x_{N}  \tag{1}\\
& \text { subject to } \quad \begin{aligned}
x_{0} & =\bar{x}_{0}, \\
x_{k+1} & =A_{k} x_{k}+B_{k} u_{k}, \quad \text { for } k=0, \ldots, N-1 .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

We recommend using the template lqr_template.m from the course website to solve the tasks because some functions of the previous exercise sheet are needed.

## Tasks

1. Linearise the system dynamics around the point $x=[0,0]^{\top}, u=0$ analytically by differentiation to obtain a continuous time system of the form: $\dot{x}=A_{c} x+B_{c} u$. Then you can obtain the corresponding discrete time system $x_{k+1}=A x_{k}+B u_{k}$ with a timestep of 0.1 using the Matlab commands:
sysc $=\operatorname{ss}(A c, B c$, eye (2), 0$)$;
sysd = c2d(sysc, 0.1);
$\mathrm{A}=$ sysd.a;
$B=\operatorname{sysd} . b ;$
Specify the continuous time and discrete time system matrices $A_{c}, B_{c}, A, B$.
Alternatively, you can linearise the discrete time dynamic system as in Exercise Sheet 2. In this case specify the discrete time system matrices $A, B$. If you do the linearisation both ways you get $\mathbf{1}$ bonus point.
(1 point +1 bonus point)
2. Bring the objective of the optimal control problem into a quadratic form and specify the matrices $Q, R, S$.
(1 point)
3. Calculate recursively the $P_{k}$ matrices (Difference Ricatti Equation) for $k=N-1, \ldots, 0$ with $N=60$ starting from $P_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$.
4. Calculate the first cost-to-go $J_{0}$ for the linear system for each state of the dynamic programming exercise of exercise sheet 3 . Make a 3D contour plot with a fine level-step of $J_{0}$ using the matlab commands:
[C, handle] = contour3 (J0);
set (handle, 'LevelStep ', get (handle, ' LevelStep ') * 0.2)
Compare the contour plot with the contour plot of the non-linear cost-to-go function of the dynamic programming exercise the you can get with the get_first_J () function in the template.

What are the similarities/differences of the two contour plots?
(1 point)
5. Starting from $x_{0}=\left[-\frac{\pi}{8}, 2\right]^{\top}$, calculate the optimal feedback and the complete optimal trajectory of the linear quadratic optimal control problem by forward recursion.
Make a plot with the evolution of the state in time and a plot of the optimal feedback controls vs. time. Does the controller bring the system to the steady state?
(1 point)
6. Apply the same optimal controls (open-loop) to the non-linear pendulum system. Start from the same initial state $x_{0}$ and simulate the system using the integrate_rk 4 function. Make a plot of state evolution and controls as before. Does the controller bring the system to the steady state? Discuss the result.
(1 point)
7. Implement a feedback controller, i.e. calculate the optimal feedback for the current state and simulate the non-linear system using integrate_rk4. Make a plot of state evolution and controls as before. Does the controller bring the system to the steady state?
(1 point)
8. Solve the Algebraic Ricatti Equation by iteratively calculating the $P_{k}$ matrices until convergence. We say, convergence is reached if the Frobenius norm of differences between the current matrix $P_{\text {cur }}$ and the next matrix $P_{\text {next }}$ is below $10^{-5}$ :
norm(Pnext-Pcur, 'fro') $<=1 \mathrm{e}-5$
(1 point)
9. Use the solution to the Algebraic Ricatti Equation to implement a Linear-Quadratic-Regulator(LQR). Simulate the system with integrate_rk 4. Make a plot of state evolution and controls as before. Does the controller stabilize the system at the steady state?
10. Bonus question: Try different initial states for the simulation with optimal feedback law and the LQR controller. For which initial states do the controllers stabilize?

