In case you missed it - Who am I ?



Name:	Sébastien Gros
Nationality:	Swiss
Residence:	Göteborg, Sweden
Affiliation:	Chalmers University of Technology
Department:	Signals & Systems
Position:	Assistant Professor
Email:	grosse@chalmers.se
Tel.	+46 31 772 15 55

Recent research topics: distributed & parallelized methods for optimal control, estimation & system identification, NMPC & Economic NMPC, optimal control for complex mechanical systems, integrators for real-time optimal control, robust optimal control, aerospace applications, airborne wind energy, wind turbine control, smart grids, traffic control

Numerical Optimal Control with DAEs Lecture 5: Newton method & SQP

Sébastien Gros

AWESCO PhD course

Survival map of Direct Optimal Control



Survival map of Direct Optimal Control



Newton - a general-purpose sledgehammer for algebraic equations...

< 17 × <

Survival map of Direct Optimal Control



Newton - a general-purpose sledgehammer for algebraic equations... ... will be used to solve the KKT conditions !!

17th of February, 2016

Outline

1 KKT conditions - Quick Reminder

- 2 The Newton method
- 3 Newton on the KKT conditions
- 4 Sequential Quadratic Programming
- 5 Hessian approximation
- 6 Maratos effect

Outline

1 KKT conditions - Quick Reminder

The Newton method

Newton on the KKT conditions

Sequential Quadratic Programmin

Hessian approximation

6 Maratos effect

Consider the NLP problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \displaystyle \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \\ \mathrm{s.t.} & \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) = \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

3

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Consider the NLP problem:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) = \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$

A point {w^{*}, μ^* , λ^* } is called a KKT point if it satisfies:

where $\mathcal{L} = \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$

3

Consider the NLP problem:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) = \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$

A point {w^{*}, μ^* , λ^* } is called a KKT point if it satisfies:

Dual Feasibility: $abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*},\,\boldsymbol{\mu}^{*},\,\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}\,\right)=0,\quad \boldsymbol{\mu}^{*}\geq0,$

where $\mathcal{L} = \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Consider the NLP problem:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) = \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

A point $\{\mathbf{w}^*, \boldsymbol{\mu}^*, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\}$ is called a KKT point if it satisfies:

Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*},oldsymbol{\mu}^{*},oldsymbol{\lambda}^{*} ight)=0,oldsymbol{\mu}^{*}\geq0,$
Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)\leq0,$

where $\mathcal{L} = \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$

A

2

Consider the NLP problem:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) = \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

A point $\{\mathbf{w}^*, \boldsymbol{\mu}^*, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\}$ is called a KKT point if it satisfies:

Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*},oldsymbol{\mu}^{*},oldsymbol{\lambda}^{*} ight)=0,oldsymbol{\mu}^{*}\geq0,$
Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)\leq0,$
Complementary Slackness:	$oldsymbol{\mu}_i^* \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}^*) = 0, \forall i$

where $\mathcal{L} = \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$

A

2

Consider the NLP problem:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) = \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

A point $\{\mathbf{w}^*, \boldsymbol{\mu}^*, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\}$ is called a KKT point if it satisfies:

Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*},oldsymbol{\mu}^{*},oldsymbol{\lambda}^{*} ight)=0,oldsymbol{\mu}^{*}\geq0,$
Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)\leq0,$
Complementary Slackness:	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i^* \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}^*) = 0, \forall i$

where $\mathcal{L}=\Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)+\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)+\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)$

Optimality conditions for NLP with equality and/or inequality constraints:

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

E 990

Consider the NLP problem:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) = 0 \\ & \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \leq 0 \end{array}$

A point $\{\mathbf{w}^*, \boldsymbol{\mu}^*, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\}$ is called a KKT point if it satisfies:

Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*},oldsymbol{\mu}^{*},oldsymbol{\lambda}^{*} ight)=0,oldsymbol{\mu}^{*}\geq0,$
Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)\leq0,$
Complementary Slackness:	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i^* \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}^*) = 0, \forall \ i$

where $\mathcal{L} = \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$

Optimality conditions for NLP with equality and/or inequality constraints:

• 1st-Order Necessary Conditions: A (local) optimum w^{*} satisfying LICQ of a (differentiable) NLP corresponds to a unique KKT point

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Consider the NLP problem:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) = 0 \\ & \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \leq 0 \end{array}$

A point $\{\mathbf{w}^*, \boldsymbol{\mu}^*, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\}$ is called a KKT point if it satisfies:

Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*},oldsymbol{\mu}^{*},oldsymbol{\lambda}^{*} ight)=0,oldsymbol{\mu}^{*}\geq0,$
Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)\leq0,$
Complementary Slackness:	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i^* \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}^*) = 0, \forall \ i$

where $\mathcal{L} = \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$

Optimality conditions for NLP with equality and/or inequality constraints:

- 1st-Order Necessary Conditions: A (local) optimum w^{*} satisfying LICQ of a (differentiable) NLP corresponds to a unique KKT point
- 2nd-Order Sufficient Conditions require positivity of the Hessian $\nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}$ in all critical feasible directions at the solution

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Consider the NLP problem: $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \Phi(\mathbf{w})$ $\text{s.t.} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) = 0$ $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0$ Most NLP solvers are in essence "KKT solvers"

A point $\{\mathbf{w}^*, \boldsymbol{\mu}^*, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\}$ is called a KKT point if it satisfies:

Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*},oldsymbol{\mu}^{*},oldsymbol{\lambda}^{*} ight)=0,oldsymbol{\mu}^{*}\geq0,$
Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} ight)\leq0,$
Complementary Slackness:	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i^* \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}^*) = 0, \forall i$

where $\mathcal{L} = \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$

Optimality conditions for NLP with equality and/or inequality constraints:

- 1st-Order Necessary Conditions: A (local) optimum w^{*} satisfying LICQ of a (differentiable) NLP corresponds to a unique KKT point
- 2nd-Order Sufficient Conditions require positivity of the Hessian ∇²_w L in all critical feasible directions at the solution

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Outline

1 KKT conditions - Quick Reminder

2 The Newton method

Newton on the KKT condition

Sequential Quadratic Programmin

Hessian approximation

6 Maratos effect

・ 「 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Э

8 / 32

▲ □ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶

Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model:

 $\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \right) \approx \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$

A 10

э

Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model:

 $\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \right) \approx \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$

э

Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model:

 $\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \right) \approx \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$



Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model:

 $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w}) \approx \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$



Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model:

 $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w}) \approx \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$



Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model:

 $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w}) \approx \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$



Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model: $\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w}) \approx \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$



Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model:

 $\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \right) \approx \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$



Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model:

 $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w}) \approx \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$



Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model:

 $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w}) \approx \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$



Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model:

 $\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \right) \approx \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$



Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model: $\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \right) \approx \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$



This is a full-step Newton iteration

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \rangle$ 17th of February, 2016

Goal: solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0...$ how ?!?



Key idea: guess w, iterate the linear model: $\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \right) \approx \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$



This is a full-step Newton iteration

Reduced steps are often needed

< □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷
 17th of February, 2016

Newton step with $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$egin{aligned} &
abla \mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) \ & \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$



 $17^{
m th}$ of February, 2016

-

< - 17 →

э

Newton step with $t \in]0, 1]$: $\nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$$



< 🗇 🕨

э

Newton step with $t \in [0, 1]$: $\nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$



-

э

< 🗇 🕨

Newton step with $t \in]0, 1]$: $\nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$$



< 🗇 🕨

э

Newton step with $t \in [0, 1]$: $\nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$



17th of February, 2016

-

< 🗇 🕨

э
Newton step with $t \in [0, 1]$: $\nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$



-

э

< 🗇 🕨

Newton step with $t \in]0, 1]$: $abla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{ op} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$



< - 17 →

э

-

Newton step with $t \in]0, 1]$: $\nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$



э

9 / 32

< 🗇 🕨

Newton step with $t \in]0, 1]$: $\nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$



 17^{th} of February, 2016 9 / 32

Newton step with $t \in]0, 1]$: $\nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$



Newton step with $t \in [0, 1]$: $\nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$ 1.5 t = 0.81 0.5 r(w)0 w -0.5 -1 -1.5 \mathbf{w}

The full-step Newton iteration can be unstable !!

э

9 / 32

A (10) × (10) × (10)

Newton step with $t \in [0, 1]$: $\nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w})$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}$ 1.5 t = 0.81 0.5 0 w -0.5 -1

w

The full-step Newton iteration can be unstable !! While the reduced-steps Newton iteration is stable...

r(w)

-1.5

< 🗇 🕨

Is the Newton step Δw always providing a **direction** "improving" r(w)?

A⊒ ► < 3

Is the Newton step $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ always providing a **direction** "improving" $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})$? I.e. is there always a t > 0 s.t. $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ is true?

17th of February, 2016 10 / 32

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

Is the Newton step $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ always providing a **direction** "improving" $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})$? I.e. is there always a t > 0 s.t. $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ is true? **Yes... but**

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

Is the Newton step $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ always providing a **direction** "improving" $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})$? I.e. is there always a t > 0 s.t. $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ is true? **Yes... but**

Proof: $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ holds for some t > 0 if

$$\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}+t\Delta\mathbf{w}\right)\|^{2}\right|_{t=0}<0$$

with $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|^2$ differentiable.

Is the Newton step $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ always providing a **direction** "improving" $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})$? I.e. is there always a t > 0 s.t. $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ is true? **Yes... but**

Proof: $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ holds for some t > 0 if

$$rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \| \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}
ight) \|^2 \bigg|_{t=0} < 0$$

with $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|^2$ differentiable. I.e.

$$2\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}+t\Delta\mathbf{w}\right)_{t=0}<0$$

Is the Newton step $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ always providing a **direction** "improving" $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})$? I.e. is there always a t > 0 s.t. $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ is true? **Yes... but**

Proof: $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ holds for some t > 0 if

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\| \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w} \right) \right\|^2 \bigg|_{t=0} < 0$$

with $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|^2$ differentiable. I.e.

$$2\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}+t\Delta\mathbf{w}\right)_{t=0}<0$$

We have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}+t\Delta\mathbf{w}\right)_{t=0}=\nabla\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\Delta\mathbf{w}=-\nabla\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\nabla\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)^{-\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)=-\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$$

Is the Newton step $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ always providing a **direction** "improving" $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})$? I.e. is there always a t > 0 s.t. $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ is true? **Yes... but**

Proof: $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ holds for some t > 0 if

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\| \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w} \right) \right\|^2 \bigg|_{t=0} < 0$$

with $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|^2$ differentiable. I.e.

$$2\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}+t\Delta\mathbf{w}\right)_{t=0}<0$$

We have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}+t\Delta\mathbf{w}\right)_{t=0}=\nabla\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\Delta\mathbf{w}=-\nabla\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\nabla\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)^{-\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)=-\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$$

Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\| \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w} \right) \right\|^2 \bigg|_{t=0} = -2 \| \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) \|^2 < 0$$

Is the Newton step $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ always providing a **direction** "improving" $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})$? I.e. is there always a t > 0 s.t. $\|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w})\| < \|\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})\|$ is true? **Yes... but**

How to select the step size $t \in [0, 1]$? Globalization...

- Line-search: reduce t until some criteria of progression on $||\mathbf{r}||$ are met
- Trust region: confine the step Δw within a region where $\nabla r(w)$ provides a good model of r(w)
- Filter techniques: monitor progress on specific components of r(w) separately

Ο..

... ensures that progress is made in one way or another.

Note: most of these techniques are specific to optimization.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0$



< ∃⇒

э

Solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0$



< - 17 →

3.5 3

Solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0$



3.5 3

11 / 32

Solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0$



3.5 3

Solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0$



3.5 3

Solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0$



3.5 3

Solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0$



3.5 3

Solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0$



Newton stops with

 $\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
eq 0$ and $abla \mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)$ singular

i.e. the Newton direction Δw given by

$$\nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\top} \mathbf{\Delta w} = -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)$$

is undefined...

э

A.

Solve $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}) = 0$



Newton stops with

 $\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)\neq\mathbf{0}$ and $\nabla\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$ singular

i.e. the Newton direction $\Delta {\bf w}$ given by

$$\nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\top} \mathbf{\Delta w} = -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)$$

is undefined...

This is a common failure mode for Newton-based solvers when tackling very non-linear ${\bf r}$ and starting with a poor initial guess !!

Newton method:

$$egin{aligned} \nabla \mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{\Delta w} &= -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) \ \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{\Delta w} \end{aligned}$$

17th of February, 2016

< □ > < A > >

э

-

Newton method:

$$egin{aligned} \nabla \mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{\Delta w} &= -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) \ \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{\Delta w} \end{aligned}$$

Yields the iteration k = 0, 1, ...:

$$\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - \nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}_k
ight)^{- op} \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}_k
ight)$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

Newton method:

Newton-type method (Jacobian approx.) $M\Delta \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})$

 $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w}$

$$egin{aligned} &
abla \mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) \ & \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Yields the iteration k = 0, 1, ...:

$$\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k -
abla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}_k
ight)^{- op} \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}_k
ight)^{- op}$$

Newton method:

$$abla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)$$

 $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w}$

Yields the iteration k = 0, 1, ...:

 $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k -
abla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}_k
ight)^{- op} \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}_k
ight)^{- op}$

Newton-type method (Jacobian approx.)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) \\ \mathbf{w} &\leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Yields the iteration k = 0, 1, ...: $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - M_k^{-1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}_k)$

12 / 32

Newton method:

$$abla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)$$

 $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w}$

Newton-type method (Jacobian approx.)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) \\ \mathbf{w} &\leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Yields the iteration
$$k = 0, 1, ...$$
:Yields the $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - \nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}_k)^{-\top} \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}_k)$ \mathbf{w}_{k+1}

Yields the iteration
$$k = 0, 1, ...$$
: $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - M_k^{-1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}_k)$

Theorem: assume

• Nonlinearity of
$$\mathbf{r}$$
: $\left\| \boldsymbol{M}_{k}^{-1} \left(\nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} - \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}^{*})^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \right\| \leq \omega \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^{*}\|$, for $\mathbf{w} \in [\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{w}^{*}]$

- 31

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Newton method:

$$abla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)$$

 $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w}$

Newton-type method (Jacobian approx.)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) \\ \mathbf{w} &\leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Yields the iteration
$$k = 0, 1, ...$$
:
 $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - \nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}_k)^{-\top} \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}_k)$

Yields the iteration k = 0, 1, ...: $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{M}_k^{-1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}_k)$

Theorem: assume

Wk

- Nonlinearity of \mathbf{r} : $\left\| \boldsymbol{M}_{k}^{-1} \left(\nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}^{*})^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \right\| \leq \omega \|\mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^{*}\|$, for $\mathbf{w} \in [\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{w}^{\star}]$
- Jacobian approximation error: $\left\| M_k^{-1} (\nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}_k)^{\mathsf{T}} M_k) \right\| \leq \kappa_k < 1$

12/32

・ロン ・ 理 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と … ヨ

Newton method:

$$abla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)$$

 $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w}$

Newton-type method (Jacobian approx.)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) \\ \mathbf{w} &\leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Yields the iteration
$$k = 0, 1, ...$$
:
 $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - \nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}_k)^{-\top} \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}_k)$

Yields the iteration k = 0, 1, ...: $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - M_k^{-1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}_k)$

Theorem: assume

- Nonlinearity of \mathbf{r} : $\left\| \boldsymbol{M}_{k}^{-1} \left(\nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}^{*})^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \right\| \leq \omega \|\mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^{*}\|$, for $\mathbf{w} \in [\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{w}^{*}]$
- Jacobian approximation error: $\left\| M_k^{-1} (\nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}_k)^{\mathsf{T}} M_k) \right\| \leq \kappa_k < 1$
- Good initial guess $\|\mathbf{w}_0 \mathbf{w}^*\| \leq \frac{2}{\omega} (1 \max{\{\kappa_k\}})$

Newton method:

Yields

 \mathbf{w}_{k+1}

$$abla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)$$

 $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w}$

Newton-type method (Jacobian approx.)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) \\ \mathbf{w} &\leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

the iteration
$$k = 0, 1, ...$$
:
 $\leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - \nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}_k)^{-\top} \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}_k)$

Yields the iteration k = 0, 1, ... $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{M}_k^{-1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}_k)$

Theorem: assume

- Nonlinearity of \mathbf{r} : $\left\| \boldsymbol{M}_{k}^{-1} \left(\nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}^{*})^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \right\| \leq \omega \|\mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^{*}\|$, for $\mathbf{w} \in [\mathbf{w}_k, \mathbf{w}^\star]$
- Jacobian approximation error: $\left\| M_k^{-1} (\nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}_k)^{\mathsf{T}} M_k) \right\| \leq \kappa_k < 1$
- Good initial guess $\|\mathbf{w}_0 \mathbf{w}^*\| < \frac{2}{\kappa_k} (1 \max{\kappa_k})$

Then $\mathbf{w}_k \to \mathbf{w}^*$ with the following linear-quadratic contraction in each iteration:

$$\|\mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w}^*\| \le \left(\frac{\kappa_k + \frac{\omega}{2}}{\|\mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{w}^*\|}\right) \|\mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{w}^*\|.$$

12 / 32

Newton method:

$$egin{aligned} \nabla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}
ight) \ \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Newton-type method (Jacobian approx.)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) \\ \mathbf{w} &\leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \end{split}$$

Yields the iteration
$$k = 0, 1, ...$$
:
 $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - \nabla \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}_k)^{-\top} \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{w}_k)$

Yields the iteration k = 0, 1, ...: $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k - M_k^{-1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}_k)$

Theorem: assume

- Nonlinearity of \mathbf{r} : $\left\| \boldsymbol{M}_{k}^{-1} \left(\nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}^{*})^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \right\| \leq \omega \|\mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^{*}\|$, for $\mathbf{w} \in [\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{w}^{*}]$
- Jacobian approximation error: $\left\| M_k^{-1} (\nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}_k)^{\mathsf{T}} M_k) \right\| \leq \kappa_k < 1$
- Good initial guess $\|\mathbf{w}_0 \mathbf{w}^*\| \leq \frac{2}{\omega} (1 \max{\{\kappa_k\}})$

Then $\mathbf{w}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{w}^*$ with the following linear-quadratic contraction in each iteration:

$$\|\mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w}^*\| \leq \left(\kappa_k + \frac{\omega}{2} \|\mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{w}^*\|\right) \|\mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{w}^*\|.$$

What about reduced steps ? Slow convergence when t < 1 (damped phase). When full steps become feasible, fast convergence to the solution.

Newton methods - Short Survival Guide

Exact Newton method:

Newton-type method

$$egin{aligned}
abla \mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)^{ op} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) \ \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathsf{M} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) \ \mathbf{w} &\leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Newton methods - Short Survival Guide



• Exact Newton direction Δw improves r for a sufficiently small step size $t \in [0, 1]$

Newton methods - Short Survival Guide



- Exact Newton direction △w improves r for a sufficiently small step size t ∈]0, 1]
 Inexact Newton direction △w improves r for a sufficiently small step size t ∈]0, 1]
 - <u>if</u> *M* > 0


- Exact Newton direction Δw improves r for a sufficiently small step size $t \in [0, 1]$
- Inexact Newton direction Δw improves \mathbf{r} for a sufficiently small step size $t \in]0, 1]$ if M > 0
- Exact full (t = 1) Newton steps converge quadratically if close enough to the solution



- Exact Newton direction Δw improves r for a sufficiently small step size $t \in [0, 1]$
- Inexact Newton direction Δw improves \mathbf{r} for a sufficiently small step size $t \in]0, 1]$ if M > 0
- Exact full (t = 1) Newton steps converge quadratically if close enough to the solution
- Inexact full (t = 1) Newton steps converge linearly if close enough to the solution and if the Jacobian approximation is "sufficiently good"



- Exact Newton direction Δw improves r for a sufficiently small step size $t \in [0, 1]$
- Inexact Newton direction Δw improves r for a sufficiently small step size $t \in [0, 1]$ if M > 0
- Exact full (t = 1) Newton steps converge quadratically if close enough to the solution
- Inexact full (t = 1) Newton steps converge linearly if close enough to the solution and if the Jacobian approximation is "sufficiently good"
- Newton iteration fails if $\nabla \mathbf{r}$ becomes singular



- Exact Newton direction Δw improves r for a sufficiently small step size $t \in [0, 1]$
- Inexact Newton direction Δw improves r for a sufficiently small step size $t \in [0, 1]$ if M > 0
- Exact full (t = 1) Newton steps converge quadratically if close enough to the solution
- Inexact full (t = 1) Newton steps converge linearly if close enough to the solution and if the Jacobian approximation is "sufficiently good"
- Newton iteration fails if $\nabla \mathbf{r}$ becomes singular
- Newton methods with globalization converge in two phases: damped (slow) phase when reduced steps (t < 1) are needed, quadratic/linear when full steps are possible.

Outline

1 KKT conditions - Quick Reminder

The Newton method

3 Newton on the KKT conditions

Sequential Quadratic Programmi

Hessian approximation

6 Maratos effect

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □

A vast majority of solvers try to find a KKT point \mathbf{w}, μ, λ i.e.

Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)\leq0,$
Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\mu},oldsymbol{\lambda} ight)=0,oldsymbol{\mu}\geq0,$
Complementarity Slackness:	$oldsymbol{\mu}_i \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, i = 1,$

where $\mathcal{L}=\Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)+\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{ op}\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)+\boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op}\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)$

A vast majority of solvers try to find a KKT point \mathbf{w}, μ, λ i.e.

Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)\leq0,$
Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\mu},oldsymbol{\lambda} ight)=0,oldsymbol{\mu}\geq0,$
Complementarity Slackness:	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, i = 1,$

where $\mathcal{L} = \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{ op} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$

Let's consider for now equality constrained problems, i.e. find $\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ s.t.:

$$egin{array}{lll}
abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\lambda})&=0\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})&=0 \end{array}$$

A vast majority of solvers try to find a KKT point \mathbf{w}, μ, λ i.e.

Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)\leq0,$
Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\mu},oldsymbol{\lambda} ight)=0,oldsymbol{\mu}\geq0,$
Complementarity Slackness:	$oldsymbol{\mu}_i \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, i = 1,$

where $\mathcal{L} = \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{ op} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$

Let's consider for now equality constrained problems, i.e. find $\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ s.t.:

$$egin{array}{lll}
abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\lambda})&=0\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})&=0 \end{array}$$

Idea: apply the Newton method on the KKT conditions, i.e.

Solve...

$$\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array} \right] = \mathbf{0}$$

A vast majority of solvers try to find a KKT point $\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ i.e.

Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)\leq0,$
Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\mu},oldsymbol{\lambda} ight)=0,oldsymbol{\mu}\geq0,$
Complementarity Slackness:	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, i = 1,$

where $\mathcal{L} = \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{ op} \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)$

Let's consider for now equality constrained problems, i.e. find $\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ s.t.:

$$egin{array}{lll}
abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\lambda})&=0\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})&=0 \end{array}$$

Idea: apply the Newton method on the KKT conditions, i.e.

Solve... ... by iterating

$$\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}
ight) = \left[egin{array}{c}
abla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\
\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}
ight] = \mathbf{0} \qquad \qquad
abla_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}
ight)^{\mathsf{T}} \left[egin{array}{c} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\
\Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{array}
ight] = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}
ight)$$

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$abla \mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\lambda}
ight)^{\mathsf{T}} \left[egin{array}{c} \Delta \mathbf{w} \ \Delta oldsymbol{\lambda} \end{array}
ight] = -\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\lambda}
ight)$$

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \nabla \mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right)$$

Given by:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} &+ \nabla_{\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} &= -\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^\mathsf{T} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$$

Given by: using $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{w})+\nabla\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} &+ \nabla_{\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} &= -\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^\mathsf{T} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}$$

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \nabla \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$$

Given by: using $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{w})+\nabla\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$egin{array}{rcl}
abla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} &+
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \Delta oldsymbol{\lambda} &= -
abla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\lambda}) \
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}$$

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight) = \left[egin{array}{c}
abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\lambda) \\
\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}
ight] = \mathbf{0} \qquad \qquad
abla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight)^{\mathsf{T}}\left[egin{array}{c} \Delta\mathbf{w} \\
\Delta\lambda \end{array}
ight] = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight)$$

Given by: using $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{w})+\nabla\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} &+ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} &= -\nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) - \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^\mathsf{T} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}$$

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\lambda) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \nabla \mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \Delta \lambda \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda\right)$$

Given by: using $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{w})+\nabla\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} &+ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}\right) &= - \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^\mathsf{T} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}$$

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight) = \left[egin{array}{c}
abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\lambda) \\
\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}
ight] = \mathbf{0} \qquad \qquad
abla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight)^{\mathsf{T}}\left[egin{array}{c} \Delta\mathbf{w} \\
\Delta\lambda \end{array}
ight] = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight)$$

Given by: using $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{w})+\nabla\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$egin{array}{rcl}
abla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} &+
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) (oldsymbol{\lambda} + \Delta oldsymbol{\lambda}) &= -
abla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}$$

The Newton direction on the KKT conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight) = \left[egin{array}{c}
abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\lambda) \\
\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}
ight] = \mathbf{0} \qquad \qquad
abla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight)^{\mathsf{T}}\left[egin{array}{c} \Delta\mathbf{w} \\
\Delta\lambda \end{array}
ight] = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight)$$

Given by: using $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{w})+\nabla\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$egin{array}{rcl}
abla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} &+
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) (oldsymbol{\lambda} + \Delta oldsymbol{\lambda}) &= -
abla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}$$

The Newton direction on the KKT conditions

$$\underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{H}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} }_{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{w})} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

where $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is the Hessian of the problem.

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight) = \left[egin{array}{c}
abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\lambda) \\
\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}
ight] = \mathbf{0} \qquad \qquad
abla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight)^{\mathsf{T}}\left[egin{array}{c} \Delta\mathbf{w} \\
\Delta\lambda \end{array}
ight] = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight)$$

Given by: using $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{w})+\nabla\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$egin{array}{rcl}
abla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} &+
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) (oldsymbol{\lambda} + \Delta oldsymbol{\lambda}) &= -
abla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^\mathsf{T} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}$$

The Newton direction on the KKT conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{H}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

where $H(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \lambda)$ is the Hessian of the problem. Note: update of the dual variable is $\lambda^+ = \lambda + \Delta \lambda$

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \nabla \mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right)$$

Given by: using $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{w})+\nabla\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$egin{array}{rcl}
abla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} &+
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) (oldsymbol{\lambda} + \Delta oldsymbol{\lambda}) &= -
abla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}$$

The Newton direction on the KKT conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{H}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

where $H(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \lambda)$ is the Hessian of the problem. Note: update of the dual variable is $\lambda^+ = \lambda + \Delta \lambda$

• $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is not needed for computing the Newton step

KKT conditions

Newton direction

$$\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight) = \left[egin{array}{c}
abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\lambda) \\
\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}
ight] = \mathbf{0} \qquad \qquad
abla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight)^{\mathsf{T}}\left[egin{array}{c} \Delta\mathbf{w} \\
\Delta\lambda \end{array}
ight] = -\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{w},\lambda
ight)$$

Given by: using $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{w})+\nabla\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$egin{array}{rcl}
abla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} &+
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) (oldsymbol{\lambda} + \Delta oldsymbol{\lambda}) &= -
abla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \
abla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^\mathsf{T} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{array}$$

The Newton direction on the KKT conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

where $H(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \lambda)$ is the Hessian of the problem. Note: update of the dual variable is $\lambda^+ = \lambda + \Delta \lambda$

- $abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is not needed for computing the Newton step
- The updated dual variables λ^+ are readily provided !

S. Gros

Optimal Control with DAEs, lecture

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$



< 47 ▶

э

-

Iterate:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H} & \nabla \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$



A.

э

Iterate:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H} & \nabla \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix}$$
with:

$$\nabla \mathbf{g} (\mathbf{w}) = 2\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mathbf{w}_{1} \\ 2\mathbf{w}_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$



< 17 ▶

э

-

Iterate:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H} & \nabla \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix}$$
with:

$$\nabla g(\mathbf{w}) = 2\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mathbf{w}_{1} \\ 2\mathbf{w}_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \Phi(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda g(\mathbf{w})$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2\lambda \mathbf{w}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$



-

< 47 ▶

3

Iterate:

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & \nabla g \\ \nabla g^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta w \\ \lambda^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ g \end{bmatrix}$$
with:

$$\nabla g (\mathbf{w}) = 2\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 2w_{1} \\ 2w_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{L} (\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \Phi (\mathbf{w}) + \lambda g (\mathbf{w})$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L} (\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2\lambda \mathbf{w}$$

$$H (\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 2+2\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 4+2\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$



< 17 ▶

∃ ⊳

3

Iterate:

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & \nabla \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix}$$
with:

$$\nabla g(\mathbf{w}) = 2\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mathbf{w}_{1} \\ 2\mathbf{w}_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \Phi(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda g(\mathbf{w})$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2\lambda \mathbf{w}$$

$$H(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 2+2\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 4+2\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mathbf{w}_{1} + \mathbf{w}_{2} + 1 \\ \mathbf{w}_{1} + 4\mathbf{w}_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$



A.

э

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$

Algorithm: Newton method **Input**: guess w, λ while $\|\nabla \mathcal{L}\|$ or $\|\mathbf{g}\| \geq \mathrm{tol}$ do Compute $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}), \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ Compute Newton direction $\begin{bmatrix} H & \nabla \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix}$ $\Delta \lambda = \lambda^+ - \lambda$ Compute Newton step, $t \in [0, 1]$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda} + t \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ return w, λ

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$

17 / 32

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$
$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



Algorithm: Newton method **Input**: guess w, λ while $\|\nabla \mathcal{L}\|$ or $\|\mathbf{g}\| \ge \mathrm{tol} \ \mathbf{do}$ Compute $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}), \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ Compute Newton direction $\begin{bmatrix} H & \nabla \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix}$ $\Delta \lambda = \lambda^+ - \lambda$ Compute Newton step, $t \in [0, 1]$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda} + t \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ return w, λ

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



17 / 32

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



Algorithm: Newton method **Input**: guess w, λ while $\|\nabla \mathcal{L}\|$ or $\|\mathbf{g}\| \ge \mathrm{tol} \ \mathbf{do}$ Compute $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}), \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ Compute Newton direction $\begin{bmatrix} H & \nabla \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix}$ $\Delta \lambda = \lambda^+ - \lambda$ Compute Newton step, $t \in [0, 1]$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda} + t \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ return w, λ

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



17 / 32

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



Algorithm: Newton method **Input**: guess w, λ while $\|\nabla \mathcal{L}\|$ or $\|\mathbf{g}\| \ge \mathrm{tol} \ \mathbf{do}$ Compute $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}), \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ Compute Newton direction $\begin{bmatrix} H & \nabla \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix}$ $\Delta \lambda = \lambda^+ - \lambda$ Compute Newton step, $t \in [0, 1]$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda} + t \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ return w, λ

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



17 / 32

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



Algorithm: Newton method **Input**: guess w, λ while $\|\nabla \mathcal{L}\|$ or $\|\mathbf{g}\| \ge \mathrm{tol} \ \mathbf{do}$ Compute $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}), \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ Compute Newton direction $\begin{bmatrix} H & \nabla \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix}$ $\Delta \lambda = \lambda^+ - \lambda$ Compute Newton step, $t \in [0, 1]$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda} + t \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ return w, λ

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



17 / 32

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$



Algorithm: Newton method **Input**: guess w, λ while $\|\nabla \mathcal{L}\|$ or $\|\mathbf{g}\| \ge \mathrm{tol} \ \mathbf{do}$ Compute $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}), \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ Compute Newton direction $\begin{bmatrix} H & \nabla \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix}$ $\Delta \lambda = \lambda^+ - \lambda$ Compute Newton step, $t \in [0, 1]$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t \Delta \mathbf{w}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda} + t \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ return w, λ

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t. $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} - 1 = 0$
Guess $\lambda = 0$, step $t = 1$

Your initial guess matters !!

The Newton direction of the KKT conditions

$$\underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} }_{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{w})} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

э

The Newton direction of the KKT conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$
KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

The KKT matrix is invertible if

3

The Newton direction of the KKT conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{+}} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$
KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

The KKT matrix is invertible if

• $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ is full column rank (LICQ)

3

- 4 周 ト 4 日 ト 4 日 ト

The Newton direction of the KKT conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$
KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

The KKT matrix is invertible if

- $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ is full column rank (LICQ)
- $\forall \mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{0}$, such that $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0}$

$$\mathbf{d}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mathbf{d} > 0$$
 (SOSC)

э

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The Newton direction of the KKT conditions

$$\underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} }_{\mathsf{KKT matrix} (symmetric indefinite)} \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix} }_{\mathsf{g}(\mathbf{w})}$$

The KKT matrix is invertible if

- $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ is full column rank (LICQ)
- $\forall \mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{0}$, such that $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0}$

$$\mathbf{d}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mathbf{d} > 0$$
 (SOSC)

If (w, λ) is LICQ & SOSC, then the KKT matrix is invertible in a neighborhood of (w, λ)

The Newton direction of the KKT conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{+}} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$
KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

The KKT matrix is invertible if

- $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ is full column rank (LICQ)
- $\forall \mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{0}$, such that $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0}$

$$\mathbf{d}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mathbf{d} > 0$$
 (SOSC)

If (\mathbf{w}, λ) is LICQ & SOSC, then the KKT matrix is invertible in a neighborhood of (\mathbf{w}, λ)

If LICQ & SOSC hold at the solution, then the Newton iteration is well defined in its neighborhood

S. Gros

Optimal Control with DAEs, lecture !

17th of February, 2016 18 / 32

The Newton direction of the KKT conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$
KKT matrix (symmetric indefinite)

The KKT matrix is invertible if

- $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$ is full column rank (LICQ)
- $\forall \mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{0}$, such that $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0}$

$$\mathbf{d}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mathbf{d} > 0$$
 (SOSC)

In practice, when the solution fails LICQ/SOSC, it is common to observe the solver struggling numerically, as the KKT matrix becomes increasingly ill-conditioned !!

If (w, λ) is LICQ & SOSC, then the KKT matrix is invertible in a neighborhood of (w, λ)

If LICQ & SOSC hold at the solution, then the Newton iteration is well defined in its neighborhood

18 / 32

Problem:

The Newton direction is given by

min	$\Phi(\mathbf{w})$
s.t.	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0$

[H(w, .	$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$) $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$)] [∆w]	_ [∇Φ(w)]
$\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$	^r) ^T 0	$\left[\lambda^{+} \right]$	[g(w)

17th of February, 2016 19 / 32

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

Problem:

The Newton direction is given by

min	$\Phi(\mathbf{w})$
s.t.	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{+}} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

The Newton direction is also given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w}$$

s.t. $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$

17th of February, 2016

19 / 32

Problem:

The Newton direction is given by

min	$\Phi(\mathbf{w})$
s.t.	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{+}} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

The Newton direction is also given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w}$$
s.t. $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$

Dual variables λ^+ given by the dual variables of the QP, i.e. $\lambda^+ = \lambda_{\text{QP}}$

Problem:

The Newton direction is given by

min	$\Phi(\mathbf{w})$
s.t.	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

The Newton direction is also given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi (\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w}$$
s.t. $\mathbf{g} (\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{g} (\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$

Dual variables λ^+ given by the dual variables of the QP, i.e. $\lambda^+ = \lambda_{\text{QP}}$

 $\ensuremath{\textit{Proof:}}$ the KKT conditions of the QP are equivalent to the system providing the Newton direction

Problem:

The Newton direction is given by

min	$\Phi(\mathbf{w})$
s.t.	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\mathsf{+}} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

The Newton direction is also given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w}$$
s.t. $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$

Dual variables λ^+ given by the dual variables of the QP, i.e. $\lambda^+ = \lambda_{\text{QP}}$

Proof: the KKT conditions of the QP are equivalent to the system providing the Newton direction

The Newton direction is given by solving a quadratic models of the original problem !!

Outline

1 KKT conditions - Quick Reminder

The Newton method

Newton on the KKT condition

4 Sequential Quadratic Programming

Hessian approximation

6 Maratos effect

What about inequality constraints ?

Find the "primal-dual" variables $\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ such that:

Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)\leq0,$
Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\mu}, oldsymbol{\lambda} ight)=0, oldsymbol{\mu}\geq0,$
Complementarity Slackness:	$oldsymbol{\mu}_i \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, i=1,$

A 🕨 🔸

What about inequality constraints ?

Find the "primal-dual" variables $\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ such that:

Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) = 0, \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0,$
Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})=0, \boldsymbol{\mu}\geq 0,$
Complementarity Slackness:	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, i = 1,$



17th of February, 2016

What about inequality constraints ?

Find the "primal-dual" variables $\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ such that:

Primal Feasibility:	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0,\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)\leq0,$
Dual Feasibility:	$ abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\mu}, oldsymbol{\lambda} ight)=0, oldsymbol{\mu}\geq 0,$
Complementarity Slackness:	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, i = 1, \dots$



Manifold generated by the Complementary Slackness condition is not smooth, Newton can not be used !!

 17^{th} of February, 2016

NLP	
min	$\Phi(\mathbf{w})$
s.t.	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0$

The Newton direction is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

with $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

э
Quadratic model interpretation



The Newton direction is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

with
$$H(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\lambda})=
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^2\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\lambda})$$

The Newton direction is given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w}$$

s.t. $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$

Quadratic model interpretation



The Newton direction is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{+} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}$$

with
$$H(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\lambda})=
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^2\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},oldsymbol{\lambda})$$

The Newton direction is given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \lambda) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w}$$

s.t. $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0$

Dual variables λ^+ given by the dual variables of the QP, i.e. $\lambda^+ = \lambda_{QP}$

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Quadratic interpretation for inequality constraints

Problem:	
min w	$\Phi(\mathbf{w})$
s.t.	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0$
s.t.	$\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \leq 0$

Image: A matrix

э

Quadratic interpretation for inequality constraints

Problem:	
min	$\Phi(\mathbf{w})$
s.t.	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)=0$
s.t.	$\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w} ight)\leq0$

The Newton direction is given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\begin{split} \min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0 \\ & \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{split}$$

with $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

Image: A math a math

Quadratic interpretation for inequality constraints

Problem:	
min	$\Phi(\mathbf{w})$
s.t.	$\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) = 0$
s.t.	$\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right)\leq0$

The Newton direction is given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\begin{split} \min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0 \\ & \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{split}$$

with $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) =
abla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

Dual variables λ^+ and μ^+ given by the dual variables of the QP, i.e. $\lambda^+ = \lambda_{\rm QP}, \quad \mu^+ = \mu_{\rm OP}$

SQP Algorithm

Algorithm: SQP with line-search

Input: guess w, λ , μ while $\|\nabla \mathcal{L}\|_{\infty}$ or $\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\infty}$ or $\max(0, \mathbf{h}_i) \geq \text{tol } \mathbf{do}$ Compute g, h, $\nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})$, $\nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})$, $\nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})$, $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ Compute Newton direction by solving the QP $\min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w}$ s.t. $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} < 0$ Select step size t to ensure progress (c.f. globalization / line-search) Take primal step: $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + t\Delta \mathbf{w}$ Take dual step: $oldsymbol{\lambda} \leftarrow (1-t)oldsymbol{\lambda} + toldsymbol{\lambda}_{QP}, \qquad oldsymbol{\mu} \leftarrow (1-t)oldsymbol{\mu} + toldsymbol{\mu}_{QP}$ return w, λ , μ

NLP:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2$$
s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0$



QP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

Hessian:

$$H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\top} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \right)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

NLP:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2$$
s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0}$



QP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

Hessian:

$$H\left(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ 17th of February, 2016

Linearized constraints



NLP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

QP: $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w}$ 1 s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} < 0$

Hessian:

$$\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(oldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$$

Contours of QP cost



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{QP}: & \mathbf{Hessian:} \\ \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^\top H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^\top \Delta \mathbf{w} & H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \Phi(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^\top \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

▲ロ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ● ● ● 17^{th} of February, 2016



NLP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

QP: $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w}$ 1 s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} < 0$

Hessian:

$$\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(oldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$$

NLP:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2$$
s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0$



QP:

$$H\left(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0$$

 $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w}$

▲ロ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ● ● ● 17th of February, 2016

Linearized constraints



NLP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

QP: $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w}$ ŀ s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} < 0$

Hessian:

$$\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$$

Contours of QP cost



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}\right) \leq 0 \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{QP}: & \mathbf{Hessian:} \\ \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} & H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\top} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Step with t = 1

NLP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0 \right\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{QP}: & \mathbf{Hessian:} \\ \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^\top H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^\top \Delta \mathbf{w} & H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \Phi(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^\top \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ○ ○ ○ 17th of February, 2016

NLP:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2$$
s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0$



QP:

$$H\left(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0$$

 $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w}$

17th of February, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Linearized constraints



NLP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

QP: $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w}$ ŀ s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} < 0$

Hessian:

$$\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$$

Contours of QP cost



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0 \right\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{QP}: & \mathbf{Hessian:} \\ \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^\top H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^\top \Delta \mathbf{w} & H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \Phi(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^\top \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○ 17th of February, 2016

Step with t = 1

NLP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0 \right\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w} \right) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{QP}: & \mathbf{Hessian:} \\ \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \mu) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} & H(\mathbf{w}, \mu) = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(\mu^{\top} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

NLP:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2$$
s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0$



QP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

Hessian:

$$H\left(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$$

▲ロ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ● ● ●

Linearized constraints



NLP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

QP: Hessian: $H\left(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(oldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$ $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w}$ s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} < 0$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで 17th of February, 2016

Contours of QP cost



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{QP}: & \mathbf{Hessian:} \\ \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^\top H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^\top \Delta \mathbf{w} & H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \Phi(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^\top \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○ 17th of February, 2016

 $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2$ s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0}$

NLP:



 17^{th} of February, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ○ ○ ○

NLP:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2$$
s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0$



QP:

$$H\left(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0$$

 $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w}$

 $17^{
m th}$ of February, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Linearized constraints



NLP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

QP: Hessian: $H\left(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(oldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$ $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w}$ s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} < 0$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで 17th of February, 2016

Contours of QP cost



$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{QP}: & \mathbf{Hessian:} \\ \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^\top H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^\top \Delta \mathbf{w} & H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \Phi(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \right) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^\top \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○ 17th of February, 2016

 $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2$ s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0}$

NLP:



 17^{th} of February, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ○ ○ ○

NLP:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_Q^2$$
s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0$



QP:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}) + \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

Hessian:

$$H\left(\mathbf{w}, oldsymbol{\mu}
ight) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi\left(\mathbf{w}
ight) +
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \left(oldsymbol{\mu}^{ op} \mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{w}
ight)
ight)$$

▲ロ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > ● ● ● 17^{th} of February, 2016



17th of February, 2016

The Newton direction is given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\begin{split} \min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0 \\ & \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{split}$$

with $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- 3

The Newton direction is given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\begin{split} \min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0 \\ & \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{split}$$

with $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

• SQP inherits the convergence properties of the Newton method

The Newton direction is given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\begin{split} \min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0 \\ & \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{split}$$

with $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

- SQP inherits the convergence properties of the Newton method
- What happens if SOSC fails during the iterations ? I.e. for an iterate $\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}$:

$$\mathbf{d}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{0}$$

for some $\mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{0}$ being a critical feasible direction ?

The Newton direction is given by the Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\begin{split} \min_{\Delta \mathbf{w}} & \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} H(\mathbf{w}, \lambda, \mu) \Delta \mathbf{w} + \nabla \Phi \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} = 0 \\ & \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right) + \nabla \mathbf{h} \left(\mathbf{w} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathbf{w} \leq 0 \end{split}$$

with $H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

- SQP inherits the convergence properties of the Newton method
- What happens if SOSC fails during the iterations ? I.e. for an iterate \mathbf{w}, λ, μ :

$$\mathbf{d}^{\top} H(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{0}$$

for some $d \neq 0$ being a critical feasible direction ? QP unbounded !! Heuristics are used in SQP methods to modify $H(\mathbf{w}, \lambda, \mu)$ and recover an adequate curvature in the QP cost (regularization).

Outline

1 KKT conditions - Quick Reminder

The Newton method

Newton on the KKT conditions

Sequential Quadratic Programmin

5 Hessian approximation

Maratos effect

(日) (ヨ) (ヨ)

Newton-type Methods - Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation

Cost function of the type $\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = rac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})\|^2$, with $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \in \mathbf{R}^m$

Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation

Observe that $\nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \left(\nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \right) = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})^\top + \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla^2 \mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w})$

Newton-type Methods - Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation

Cost function of the type
$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = rac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})\|^2$$
, with $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation

Observe that

$$\nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \left(\nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \right) = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})^\top + \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla^2 \mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w})$$
Gauss-Newton method proposes to use:

$$B_i = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_i) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_i)^\top + \alpha_i I$$

S. Gros
Cost function of the type $\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})\|^2$, with $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation

Observe that

$$\nabla^2_{\mathbf{w}} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \left(\nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \right) = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})^\top + \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla^2 \mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w})$$
Gauss-Newton method proposes to use:
$$B_k = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_k) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_k)^\top + \alpha_k I$$

 B_k is a good approximation if:

Cost function of the type $\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})\|^2$, with $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation

bserve that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \left(\nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \right) = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla^{2} \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w})$$

Gauss-Newton method proposes to use B_k is a good approximation if:

$$= B_k = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_k) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_k)^{\mathsf{T}} + \alpha_k I$$

- constraints are close to linear <u>or</u>
- $\Phi(\mathbf{w}^{\star}) \approx 0$ (implies $\lambda, \mu \approx 0$)

Cost function of the type $\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})\|^2$, with $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation

Observe that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \left(\nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \right) = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla^{2} \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w})$$
Gauss-Newton method proposes to use:
$$B_{k} = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_{k}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_{k})^{\top} + \alpha_{k} I$$

• constraints are close to linear or

 B_k is a good approximation if:

•
$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}^{\star}) \approx 0$$
 (implies $\lambda, \mu \approx 0$) and

Cost function of the type $\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = rac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \|^2$, with $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \in \mathbf{R}^m$

Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation

Observe that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \left(\nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \right) = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla^{2} \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w})$$

and

Gauss-Newton method proposes to use: $B_k = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_k) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_k)^{\mathsf{T}} + \alpha_k \mathbf{I}$ B_k is a good approximation if:

• constraints are close to linear <u>or</u>

•
$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}^{\star}) pprox 0$$
 (implies $oldsymbol{\lambda}, \ oldsymbol{\mu} pprox 0$)

• all $\nabla^2 \mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w})$ are small (\mathbf{R} close to linear), <u>or</u>

• all
$$\mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w})$$
 are small, i.e. $\Phi(\mathbf{w}^{\star}) \approx 0$

Cost function of the type $\Phi(\mathbf{w})=\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})\|^2$, with $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})\in {\rm I\!R}^m$

Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation

between that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \left(\nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \right) = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla^{2} \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w})$$
where Neutron method process to use $\mathbf{R}_{i} = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla^{2} \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w})$

and

Gauss-Newton method proposes to use: $B_{k} = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_{k}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_{k})' + \alpha_{k} I$ *B_k* is a good approximation if:

0

• constraints are close to linear <u>or</u>

•
$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}^{\star})pprox 0$$
 (implies $oldsymbol{\lambda},\,oldsymbol{\mu}pprox 0)$

• all $\nabla^2 \mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w})$ are small (\mathbf{R} close to linear), <u>or</u>

• all
$$\mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w})$$
 are small, i.e. $\Phi(\mathbf{w}^\star) pprox 0$

Typical application to tracking & fitting problems: $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{w}) - ar{\mathbf{y}}$

Cost function of the type $\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = rac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \|^2$, with $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Gauss-Newton Hessian approximation

bserve that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}^{2} \Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \left(\nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \right) = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w})^{\top} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla^{2} \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{R}_{i}(\mathbf{w})$$

and

Gauss-Newton method proposes to use: $B_k = \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_k) \nabla \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}_k)^{\mathsf{T}} + \alpha_k \mathbf{I}$ B_k is a good approximation if:

• constraints are close to linear <u>or</u>

•
$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}^{\star})pprox 0$$
 (implies $oldsymbol{\lambda},\,oldsymbol{\mu}pprox 0)$

• all $\nabla^2 \mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w})$ are small (\mathbf{R} close to linear), <u>or</u>

• all
$$\mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{w})$$
 are small, i.e. $\Phi(\mathbf{w}^\star) pprox 0$

Typical application to tracking & fitting problems: $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{w}) - ar{\mathbf{y}}$

Convergence

- If $\Phi(\mathbf{w}_k) \rightarrow 0$ then $\kappa_k \rightarrow 0$
- Can get superlinear convergence...

Compute numerical derivative of $H(\mathbf{w})$ in an efficient (iterative) way

BFGS

Define

$$\mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w}_k$$

 $\mathbf{y}_k = \nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}_{k+1}) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}_k)$

Idea: Update $B_k \rightarrow B_{k+1}$ such that $B_{k+1}\mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$ (secant condition)

Compute numerical derivative of $H(\mathbf{w})$ in an efficient (iterative) way

BFGS
Define $\mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w}_k$
$\mathbf{y}_k \;\;=\;\; abla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}_{k+1}) - abla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}_k)$
Idea: Update $B_k o B_{k+1}$ such that $B_{k+1}\mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$ (secant condition)
BFGS formula: $B_{k+1} = B_k - \frac{B_k \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s}^{T} B_k}{\mathbf{s}^{T} B_k \mathbf{s}} + \frac{\mathbf{y} \mathbf{y}^{T}}{\mathbf{s}^{T} \mathbf{y}}, B_0 = I$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Compute numerical derivative of $H(\mathbf{w})$ in an efficient (iterative) way

BFGS

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_k &= \mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w}_k \\ \mathbf{y}_k &= \nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}_{k+1}) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}_k) \end{aligned}$$

Idea: Update $B_k \rightarrow B_{k+1}$ such that $B_{k+1}\mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$ (secant condition)

BFGS formula:
$$B_{k+1} = B_k - \frac{B_k \mathbf{ss}^\mathsf{T} B_k}{\mathbf{s}^\mathsf{T} B_k \mathbf{s}} + \frac{\mathbf{yy}^\mathsf{T}}{\mathbf{s}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{y}}, \quad B_0 = I$$

See "Powell's trick" to make sure that $B_{k+1} > 0$

Compute numerical derivative of $H(\mathbf{w})$ in an efficient (iterative) way

BFGS

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_k &= \mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w}_k \\ \mathbf{y}_k &= \nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}_{k+1}) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}_k) \end{aligned}$$

Idea: Update $B_k \rightarrow B_{k+1}$ such that $B_{k+1}\mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$ (secant condition)

BFGS formula:
$$B_{k+1} = B_k - \frac{B_k \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s}^{\mathsf{T}} B_k}{\mathbf{s}^{\mathsf{T}} B_k \mathbf{s}} + \frac{\mathbf{y} \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}}{\mathbf{s}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}}, \quad B_0 = I$$

See "Powell's trick" to make sure that $B_{k+1} > 0$

Convergence

- It can be shown that $B_k o H(\mathbf{w})$, then $\kappa_k o 0$
- Can get superlinear convergence...

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Outline

1 KKT conditions - Quick Reminder

The Newton method

Newton on the KKT conditions

Sequential Quadratic Programmin

Hessian approximation

6 Maratos effect

(日本) (日本) (日本)

Maratos effect - Some NLPs can yield "creeping" convergence



Maratos effect - Some NLPs can yield "creeping" convergence



What is going on ?!? This is a case of the Maratos effect, can happen with nonlinear constraints...

31 / 32

Maratos effect

Consider the NLP :

$$\min_{u,v} \quad \Phi = 3v^2 - 2u$$

s.t.
$$g = u - v^2 = 0$$

Optimum: $\mathbf{w}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Consider the iterate:

$$\mathbf{w}_k = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

The Newton step is:

$$\Delta \mathbf{w}_k = -\begin{bmatrix} 2a^2 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\lambda = 2...$



- 31

Maratos effect

Consider the NLP :

$$\min_{u,v} \Phi = 3v^2 - 2u$$

s.t. $g = u - v^2 = 0$

Optimum: $\mathbf{w}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Consider the iterate:

$$\mathbf{w}_k = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

The Newton step is:

$$\Delta \mathbf{w}_k = -\begin{bmatrix} 2a^2 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\lambda = 2...$

The full Newton step: $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{w}_k + \Delta \mathbf{w}_k$ is much closer to \mathbf{w}^* than \mathbf{w}_k .



- 3

Maratos effect

Consider the NLP :

$$\min_{u,v} \quad \Phi = 3v^2 - 2u$$

s.t.
$$g = u - v^2 = 0$$

Optimum: $\mathbf{w}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Consider the iterate:

$$\mathbf{w}_k = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

The Newton step is:

$$\Delta \mathbf{w}_k = -\begin{bmatrix} 2a^2 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\lambda = 2...$

The full Newton step: $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{w}_k + \Delta \mathbf{w}_k$ is much closer to \mathbf{w}^* than \mathbf{w}_k .



$$\begin{array}{l} \text{But:} \\ \Phi(\mathbf{w}_{k+1}) > \Phi(\mathbf{w}_k) \\ |g(\mathbf{w}_{k+1})| > |g(\mathbf{w}_k)| \end{array}$$

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

No penalty function can accept $\Delta \mathbf{w}_k$!!