

Exercise 4: Weighted Linear Least-Squares
(to be returned on Nov 27, 2019, 8:30 in HS 00 036 (Schick-Saal),
or before in building 102, 1st floor, 'Anbau')

Prof. Dr. Moritz Diehl, Tobias Schöls, Naya Baslan, Jakob Harzer, Bryan Ramos

The aim of this sheet is to strengthen your knowledge in least squares estimation and introduce some basic properties about quadratic functions and how they relate to weighted linear least-squares.

Exercise Tasks

1. ON PAPER: We would like to find the parameters $\hat{\theta}_{\text{LS}}$ of a linear model $y_k = \phi_k \cdot \theta + \epsilon_k$, where $\epsilon_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$ is an additive i.i.d. zero-mean Gaussian noise that perturbed a series of N scalar measurements $y = [y_1, \dots, y_N] \in \mathbb{R}^N$. From the lecture we know that $\hat{\theta}_{\text{LS}}$ can be computed using least-squares:

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{LS}} = \arg \min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2} \|y - \Phi\theta\|_2^2$$

where $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$. Assume that σ_ϵ^2 is known.

- (a) Define the matrix Φ and state the closed form solution of least squares problem. $\hat{\theta}_{\text{LS}} = \dots$
(b) Calculate the covariance of the least squares estimate. $\text{cov}(\hat{\theta}_{\text{LS}}) = \dots$

Hint: Recall from Exercise 2 that the covariance matrix of a vector-valued variable $Y = AX + b$ for a constant $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by $\text{cov}(Y) = A \text{cov}(X) A^\top$.

(2 points)

2. ON PAPER: Consider a series of N scalar measurements $y = [y_1, \dots, y_N] \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a linear model $y_k = \phi_k \cdot \theta + \epsilon_k$, where $\epsilon_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_k^2)$ and all σ_k^2 , $k = 1, \dots, N$ can be different, e.g. time depending. The measurements thus are perturbed by additive independent zero-mean noise that is not identically distributed. In order to give a lower weight to the measurements with stronger noise, we introduce a weighting positive definite matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. Consider the following weighted least-squares optimization problem (WLS)

$$\min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \|r\|_W^2 = \frac{1}{2} r^\top W r \quad (1)$$

where $r = [r_1, \dots, r_N] \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the vector of the prediction errors $r_k = y_k - \phi_k \cdot \theta$, the regression vectors are denoted by $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and the unknown parameters by $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

- (a) Please re-write the WLS optimization problem (1) as an unweighted LLS problem, i.e. specify \tilde{y} and $\tilde{\Phi}$ such that


$$\min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{y} - \tilde{\Phi}\theta\|_2^2 = \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} r^\top W r$$

(1 point)

Hint: The reformulation found above and (1) are equivalent, use either one for (b) and (c)

- (b) Is it a convex problem? Prove it. (1 point)
(c) Analytically solve the optimization problem. (1 point)

3. Recall the resistance estimation example from the last exercise sheet. Again, we consider the following experimental setup:



We assume that only our measurements of the voltage are corrupted by noise, i.e. we make the following model assumption:

$$u(k) = R_0 i(k) + E_0 + n_u(k) \quad \sigma_k^2 = c \cdot k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_m$$

where $n_u(k) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_k^2)$ follows a zero-mean Gaussian distribution.

You are given the data of N_e students, each of them performed the same experiment where they measured the voltage $u(k)$ for increasing values of $i(k)$, $k = 1, \dots, N_m$.

Unfortunately, the fan of your measuring device is broken. Thus, it starts heating up over the course of the experiment which decreases the accuracy of your measurements such that later measurements are much noisier than earlier ones.

- (a) ON PAPER: On Grader we already provided a plot showing the measurements from all students. What do you observe?

To account for the decreasing accuracy of your measuring device, you decide to assume that the noise variance σ_k^2 is proportional to the timestep k , i.e.

$$\sigma_k^2 = c \cdot k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_m,$$

for some fixed value of c (you may start by choosing $c = 1$). How do you make use of this assumption when applying weighted linear least-squares? (1 point)

- (b) MATLAB: For student 1, perform both linear least-squares (LLS) and weighted linear least-squares (WLS) to obtain estimates of the parameter $\theta_0 = [E_0, R_0]^\top$. Plot the data of student 1, as well as the fit obtained from LLS and WLS in a single figure. (1 point)

- (c) ON PAPER: What do you observe? (1 point)

- (d) MATLAB: For each student $d = 1, \dots, N_e$, compute $\theta_{\text{LLS}}^{(d)}$ and $\theta_{\text{WLS}}^{(d)}$. (1 point)

- (e) MATLAB: Estimate the mean and covariance matrix of the random variables θ_{LLS} and θ_{WLS} by calculating the sample mean $\bar{\theta}_{*\text{LS}} = \frac{1}{N_e} \sum_{d=1}^{N_e} \theta_{*\text{LS}}^{(d)}$ and the sample covariance matrix $\Sigma_{*\text{LS}}$ that is given by

$$\Sigma_{*\text{LS}} = \frac{1}{N_e - 1} \sum_{d=1}^{N_e} \left(\theta_{*\text{LS}}^{(d)} - \bar{\theta}_{*\text{LS}} \right) \left(\theta_{*\text{LS}}^{(d)} - \bar{\theta}_{*\text{LS}} \right)^\top.$$

Here *LS refers to LLS and WLS. (1 point)

- (f) MATLAB: Plot $\theta_{\text{LLS}}^{(d)}$ and $\theta_{\text{WLS}}^{(d)}$, $d = 1, \dots, N_e$, where the x -axis corresponds to the estimated R values and the y -axis corresponds to the estimated E values.

Plot the mean and 1σ -confidence ellipsoids for both θ_{LLS} and θ_{WLS} in the same figure. (1 point)

- (g) ON PAPER: What do you observe? (1 point)

- (h) ON PAPER: In part (b) we assumed that the measurement noise is proportional to k . Does θ_{WLS} depend on the choice of the proportionality factor? Why (not)? (1 point)

This sheet gives in total 11 points.