Some useful facts about ellipsoids and their application to robust optimal control

Florian Messerer

Systems Control and Optimization Laboratory, University of Freiburg

syscop talk May 11, 2021



Introduction



- 1. Some basic knowledge about ellipsoids
- 2. Some stochastics
- 3. A little bit of robust optimization
- 4. Uncertainty description of dynamical systems





Definition and construction of ellipsoids

Define ellipsoid by center c ∈ ℝⁿ and shape matrix Q ∈ Sⁿ₊₊ (Q ≻ 0)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(Q,c) &:= \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x - c\|_{Q^{-1}} \le 1 \} \\ &= \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x - c)^\top Q^{-1} (x - c) \le 1 \} \end{aligned}$$

- Denote by λ_i , v_i , i = 1, ..., n, the eigenvalues / -vectors (normalized) of Q.
- Eigendecomposition $Q = V\Lambda V^{\top}$ with $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda), VV^{\top} = I$

$$\mathcal{E}(Q,c) = \{ V\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}w + c \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ w^{\top}w \le 1 \}$$





Definition and construction of ellipsoids (cont.)

- Relax to $Q \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$ $(Q \succeq 0)$
- ▶ $\lambda_i = 0$ for some $i \rightarrow$ degenerate ellipsoid
- For non-invertible Q, we can still use

$$\mathcal{E}(Q,c) := \{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}w + c \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ w^\top w \leq 1\}$$

with
$$Q=Q^{\frac{1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (unique, $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}=V\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}V^{\top})$

• or just any $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $Q = WW^{\top}$

$$\mathcal{E}(Q,c) = \{ Ww + c \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ w^\top w \le 1 \}$$

 \blacktriangleright or non-square $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ with $Q = W W^\top$

$$\mathcal{E}(WW^{\top}, c) = \{Ww + c \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^m, \ w^{\top}w \le 1\}$$



Size of ellipsoids



Most obvious measure of size is volume (with V
n volume of unit ball E(In))

$$\operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{E}(Q)) = \bar{V}_n \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\lambda_i} = \bar{V}_n \sqrt{\det Q}$$

$$\det Q = \det V \Lambda V^{\top} = \det V V^{\top} \Lambda = \det \Lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$$

Often more intuitive measure

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{\lambda_i}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = \operatorname{Tr} Q$$

$$\operatorname{Tr} Q = \operatorname{Tr} V \Lambda V^{\top} = \operatorname{Tr} V^{\top} V \Lambda = \operatorname{Tr} \Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$$

Affine transformation





$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \ b \in \mathbb{R}^m,$
$A\mathcal{E}(Q,c)+b,$
$:= \{Ax + b \mid x \in \mathcal{E}(Q, c)\}$
$= \{A(Q^{\frac{1}{2}}w + c) + b \mid w \in \mathcal{E}(I_n)\}$
$= \{AQ^{\frac{1}{2}}w + Ac + b \mid w \in \mathcal{E}(I_n)\}$
$= \mathcal{E}(AQ^{\frac{1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}^{\top}}A^{\top}, Ac + b)$
$= \mathcal{E}(AQA^{\top}, Ac + b)$

Maximum over linear function





$$\max_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ w \in \mathbb{R}^n }} g^\top x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x \in \mathcal{E}(Q)$$

$$= \max_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ w \in \mathbb{R}^n }} g^\top Q^{\frac{1}{2}} w \quad \text{s.t.} \quad w \in \mathcal{E}(I)$$

$$= \max_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ w \in \mathbb{R}^n }} \bar{g}^\top w \quad \text{s.t.} \quad w \in \mathcal{E}(I)$$

$$= \frac{\bar{g}}{\|\bar{g}\|} = \frac{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}g}{\sqrt{g^\top Qg}}, \quad x^* = Q^{\frac{1}{2}} w^* = \frac{Qg}{\sqrt{g^\top Qg}}$$

$$g^\top x^* = \frac{g^\top Qg}{\sqrt{g^\top Qg}} = \sqrt{g^\top Qg}$$

 w^*

Support function



Any non-empty compact convex set S ⊂ ℝⁿ can be defined via its support function:

$$V(g) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} g^\top x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x \in \mathcal{S}$$

Important tool for analysis of convex setsFor ellipsoid:

$$\begin{split} V(g) &= \max_{x \, \in \, \mathbb{R}^n} \, g^\top x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x \in \mathcal{E}(Q) \\ &= \sqrt{g^\top Q g} \end{split}$$



Sum of two ellipsoids





Minkowski sum

$$\mathcal{E}(Q_1, c_1) + \mathcal{E}(Q_2, c_2)$$

:= { $x_1 + x_2 \mid x_1 \in \mathcal{E}(Q_1, c_1), x_2 \in \mathcal{E}(Q_2, c_2)$ }

not an ellipsoid (in general)

Overapproximating sum of ellipsoids by ellipsoid

- Aim: find Q such that $\mathcal{E}(Q) \supseteq \mathcal{E}(Q_1) + \mathcal{E}(Q_2)$
- More general: Find Q such that $\mathcal{E}(Q) \supseteq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{E}(Q_k)$

• Construct family of outer approximations parametrized by $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{K}$

$$Q(\alpha) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k\right) \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{\alpha_k} Q_k \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{E}(Q(\alpha)) \supseteq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{E}(Q_k) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^K$$

- ▶ Possible to assume / require $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k = 1$ w.l.o.g.
- Parametrized outer approximation is tight (but not complete)

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^K} \mathcal{E}(Q(\alpha)) = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathcal{E}(Q_k)$$



Overapproximating sum of ellipsoids by ellipsoid (cont.)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & \mathcal{E}(Q_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q}(0.5)) \\ \hline & \mathcal{E}(Q_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q}(1.0)) \\ \times & \text{sampled sum} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q}(2.0)) \end{array}$$



- Consider K = 2

 - Example 2 Reparametrize: $\alpha_2 = 1 \alpha_1$, $\beta = \frac{1}{1 \alpha_1} > 0$

•
$$\tilde{Q}(\beta) = (1 + \frac{1}{\beta})Q_1 + (1 + \beta)Q_2$$

- In general: Choose α according to some criterion
 - e.g., such that $\mathcal{E}(Q(\alpha))$ has minimal size
 - or such that approximation is tight in a given direction $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (approximation touches true sum)
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \rightarrow \text{convex optimization problem}$

Ellipsoids in multivariate normal distribution





- Consider *n*-dimensional normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ with variance $\Sigma \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$
- Probability density function (pdf) has ellipsoidal level lines
- ▶ Ellipsoidal $s\sigma$ confidence regions

 $P_{x \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma)}(x \in \mathcal{E}(s^2 \Sigma)) = f(s^2, n)$

($f(s^2,n)$ is cumulative density of χ^2_n dist.)

$f(s^2,n)$	n = 1	n = 2	n = 3	n = 5
s = 1	0.683	0.393	0.199	0.037
s = 2	0.954	0.865	0.739	0.451
s = 3	0.997	0.989	0.971	0.891



Approximate robust optimization



$$\begin{split} \min_{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(\bar{x}) & \text{s.t.} & 0 \ge h(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{E}(Q, \bar{x}) \quad (h : \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}) \\ = \min_{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(\bar{x}) & \text{s.t.} & 0 \ge \max_{x \in \mathcal{E}(Q, \bar{x})} h(x) \\ \approx \min_{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(\bar{x}) & \text{s.t.} & 0 \ge \max_{x \in \mathcal{E}(Q, \bar{x})} h(\bar{x}) + \nabla h(\bar{x})^{\top} (x - \bar{x}) \\ = \min_{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(\bar{x}) & \text{s.t.} & 0 \ge h(\bar{x}) + \max_{\Delta x \in \mathcal{E}(Q)} \nabla h(\bar{x})^{\top} \Delta x \\ = \min_{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(\bar{x}) & \text{s.t.} & 0 \ge h(\bar{x}) + \sqrt{\nabla h(\bar{x})^{\top} Q \nabla h(\bar{x})} \end{split}$$

Approximate robust optimization – example

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \bar{x}^{\top} \bar{x} \\ \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \\ \text{s.t.} & x \notin \mathcal{E}(V,c) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{E}(Q,\bar{x}) \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \min & \bar{x}^{\top} \bar{x} \\ \text{s.t.} & (x-c)^{\top} V^{-1} (x-c) \geq 1 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{E}(Q,\bar{x}) \end{array}$$

Obtain approximate solution \bar{x}^{\ast} by robustifying against linearized constraint



$$\begin{split} \min_{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} & f(\bar{x}) & \text{s.t.} & P_{x \sim \mathcal{N}(\bar{x},Q)}\{h(x) \leq 0\} \geq \bar{p} \\ \approx & \min_{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} & f(\bar{x}) & \text{s.t.} & P_{x \sim \mathcal{N}(\bar{x},Q)}\{h(\bar{x}) + \nabla h(\bar{x})^\top (x - \bar{x}) \leq 0\} \geq \bar{p} \\ = & \min_{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} & f(\bar{x}) & \text{s.t.} & P_{\Delta x \sim \mathcal{N}(0,Q)}\{h(\bar{x}) + \nabla h(\bar{x})^\top \Delta x \leq 0\} \geq \bar{p} \\ = & \min_{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} & f(\bar{x}) & \text{s.t.} & h(\bar{x}) + \gamma(\bar{p})\sqrt{\nabla h(\bar{x})^\top Q \nabla h(\bar{x})} \leq 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \gamma(\bar{p}) &= 1 \Leftrightarrow \bar{p} = 0.841 \\ \gamma(\bar{p}) &= 2 \Leftrightarrow \bar{p} = 0.977 \\ \gamma(\bar{p}) &= 3 \Leftrightarrow \bar{p} = 0.999 \end{split}$$
 (cumulative density of normal dist. up to the $\gamma(\bar{p})\sigma$ level)



$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + \Gamma w_k$$

Stochastic setting

$$x_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}_k, P_k), \ w_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{k+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underbrace{A\bar{x}_k + Bu_k}_{\bar{x}_{k+1}}, \underbrace{AP_k A^\top + \Gamma \Sigma \Gamma^\top}_{P_{k+1}})$$

Uncertainty set still ellipsoidal :)

Robust setting

$$x_k \in \mathcal{E}(P_k, \bar{x}_k), \ w_k \in \mathcal{E}(\Sigma)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{E}(AP_k A^{\top}, \underbrace{A\bar{x}_k + Bu_k}_{\bar{x}_{k+1}}) + \mathcal{E}(\Gamma \Sigma \Gamma^{\top})$$

Uncertainty set not ellipsoidal :(

Uncertain linear dynamical systems - robust case



$$\begin{split} x_{k+1} &= A x_k + B u_k + \Gamma w_k \\ x_k \in \mathcal{E}(P_k, \bar{x}_k), \; w_k \in \mathcal{E}(\Sigma) \Rightarrow x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{X}_{k+1}, \quad \text{not ellipsoidal} \end{split}$$

▶ Option 1: Ellipsoidal overapproximation $P_{k+1}(\alpha) \supseteq \mathcal{X}_{k+1}$

- \blacktriangleright choose arbitrary $\alpha \Rightarrow$ probably bad quality approximation
- choose best α according to some relevant criterion \Rightarrow optimization problem
- Option 2: Modify assumption on initial uncertainty

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_k \\ w_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{E}\left(\begin{bmatrix} P_k & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{E}(\underbrace{AP_k A_k^\top + \Gamma \Sigma \Gamma^\top}_{P_{k+1}}, \underbrace{A\bar{x}_k + Bu_k}_{\bar{x}_{k+1}})$$

For *N*-step prediction assume $(x_0, w_0, \ldots, w_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{E}(\text{diag}(P_0, \Sigma, \ldots, \Sigma), (\bar{x}_0, 0, \ldots, 0))$ Justification of assumption?

Nonlinear dynamical systems

Consider a stochastic nonlinear dynamical system

$$x_0 = \bar{\bar{x}}_0, \qquad x_{k+1} = f_k(x_k, u_k, w_k), \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$
 (1)

We are interested in robust constraint satisfaction for all possible trajectories

$$h(x_k, u_k) \le 0, \quad \forall x_k \in \mathcal{X}_k(u), \quad k = 0, \dots, N,$$
(2)

$$h(x_N) \le 0, \quad \forall x_N \in \mathcal{X}_N(u),$$
(3)

where $\mathcal{X}_k(u)$, $k = 0, \dots, N$, is the set of all reachable states at k given controls u.



> Model uncertainty tube by ellipsoids around nominal trajectory \bar{x} , \bar{u}

$$\bar{x}_0 = \bar{\bar{x}}_0, \qquad \bar{x}_{k+1} = f_k(\bar{x}_k, \bar{u}_k, 0), \qquad \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1, \qquad (4)$$

$$x_k \in \mathcal{E}(P_k, \bar{x}_k), \qquad \qquad k = 0, \dots, N. \qquad (5)$$

 \blacktriangleright Propagate ellipsoids according to dynamics linearized at $\bar{x}, \, \bar{u}$

$$P_0 = 0, \qquad P_{k+1} = A_k P_k A_k^\top + \sigma^2 \Gamma_k \Gamma_k^\top, \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$
(6)
where $A_k = \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_k} (\bar{x}_k, \bar{u}_k, 0), \qquad \Gamma_k = \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial w_k} (\bar{x}_k, \bar{u}_k, 0).$

Simplifications (cont.)



Approximate robust constraint satisfaction through linearization (componentwise)

$$\begin{split} 0 &\geq h_{k}^{i}(x_{k}, \bar{u}_{k}) & \forall x_{k} \in \mathcal{E}(P_{k}, \bar{x}_{k}) \\ &\geq \max_{x_{k} \in \mathcal{E}(P_{k}, \bar{x}_{k})} h_{k}^{i}(x_{k}, \bar{u}_{k}) \\ &\approx h_{k}^{i}(\bar{x}_{k}, \bar{u}_{k}) + \sqrt{\nabla_{x} h_{k}^{i}(\bar{x}_{k}, \bar{u}_{k})^{\top} P_{k} \nabla_{x} h_{k}^{i}(\bar{x}_{k}, \bar{u}_{k})}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n_{h_{k}}, \\ &\quad k = 0, \dots, N - 1, \\ 0 &\geq h_{N}^{i}(\bar{x}_{N}) + \sqrt{\nabla_{x} h_{N}^{i}(\bar{x}_{N})^{\top} P_{N} \nabla_{x} h_{N}^{i}(\bar{x}_{N})}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n_{h_{N}}. \end{split}$$

► Variation: Single-chance constraints

- lnterpret P_k as variance of normal distribution
- Nultiply back-off by $\gamma(\bar{p})$ to ensure satisfaction of **this specific** constraint with at least probability \bar{p} (approximately)
- Probability that no constraint is violated is lower

Open-loop Robustified NMPC problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\min_{\bar{x}, \bar{u}, P} & \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} l(\bar{x}_{k}, \bar{u}_{k}) + E(\bar{x}_{N}) & (8a) \\
\text{s.t.} & \bar{x}_{0} = \bar{x}_{0}, & (8b) \\
\bar{x}_{k+1} = f_{k}(\bar{x}_{k}, \bar{u}_{k}, 0), & k = 0, \dots, N-1, & (8c) \\
P_{0} = 0, & (8d) \\
P_{k+1} = A_{k}P_{k}A_{k}^{\top} + \sigma^{2}\Gamma_{k}\Gamma_{k}^{\top}, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, & (8e) \\
0 \ge h_{k}^{i}(\bar{x}_{k}, \bar{u}_{k}) + \sqrt{\nabla_{x}h_{k}^{i}(\bar{x}_{k}, \bar{u}_{k})^{\top}P_{k}\nabla_{x}h_{k}^{i}(\bar{x}_{k}, \bar{u}_{k})} & i = 1, \dots, n_{h}, \\
0 \ge h_{N}^{i}(\bar{x}_{N}) + \sqrt{\nabla_{x}h_{N}^{i}(\bar{x}_{N})^{\top}P_{N}\nabla_{x}h_{N}^{i}(\bar{x}_{N})} & i = 1, \dots, n_{h_{N}}, & (8g)
\end{array}$$

where $P = (P_0, \ldots, P_N)$

Some references



Material for this talk

- ▶ B. Houska. Robust Optimization of Dynamic Systems , PhD thesis, KU Leuven, 2011
- J. Gillis. Practical methods for approximate robust periodic optimal control of nonlinear mechanical systems, PhD thesis, KU Leuven, 2015
 - ... and a lot of wikipedia / stackoverflow / random slides
- To at least mention our most recent results and closely related ones
 - L. Hewing, J. Kabzan, and M. N. Zeilinger. Cautious model predicitive control using gaussian process regression. IEEE Transaction on Control Systems Technology, 28(6):2736–2743, 2020
 - X. Feng, S. Di Cairano, R. Quirynen. Inexact Adjoint-based SQP Algorithm for Real-Time Stochastic Nonlinear MPC, Proceedings of the IFAC World Congress, 2020
 - A. Zanelli, J. Frey, F. Messerer, M. Diehl. Zero-Order Robust Nonlinear Model Predictive Control with Ellipsoidal Uncertainty Sets, IFAC-NMPC 2021
 - F. Messerer, M. Diehl. An Efficient Algorithm for Tube-based Robust Nonlinear Optimal Control with Optimal Linear Feedback, 2021